



**EUNIQ**

## European Universities and the Quality of Higher Education: Perspectives of Ministries

Liesbeth Hens – Flemish Ministry of Education  
and Training

[liesbeth.hens@ond.vlaanderen.be](mailto:liesbeth.hens@ond.vlaanderen.be)

# Organisation of EUniQ

- Coordinator Flemish Ministry; managed by NVAO
- 17 project partners:
  - 8 QA agencies: 4 from QA systems in development and 4 fully developed (cf Bologna Implementation Report; application of EA for QA of JPs); peer learning in pilots and Roadmap Group
  - 6 ministries from Peer Support Group on QA; Resonance Group
  - 3 stakeholders organisations EUA, ESU, ENQA in Roadmap Group



# Organisation of EUniQ

- The **Roadmap Group**, with the QA agencies and stakeholder organisations, is the main management and decision-making instrument of the project.
- The **Resonance Group**, consisting of ministries, will guide, monitor and evaluate the work of the Roadmap Group.



# The resonance group: composition

- 1 Ministry of Education and Training, Flemish Community, Belgium
- 2 Ministry of Education and Sport ,Albania
- 3 Ministry of Education and Science, Bulgaria
- 4 Hcerés (representing Ministry), France,
- 5 Ministry of Education and Science, Georgia
- 6 Ministry of Education, Romania



# Deliverables of the Resonance Group

- Paper Resonance Group: Analysis of the proposed methodology
- Resonance Group Paper: European Universities, legal frameworks and the ESG. Some recommendations



# Some recommendations on the development of QA of European Universities...



# 1. Focus on the alliance

The **framework** for Quality Assurance for European University alliances needs to **focus** on the **joint provision** of the European University alliance, and not on the basic quality of the institutions and programmes.



## 2. Take the complete remit into account

Where most know national and international standards, procedures and processes focus mainly on the **quality of education**, the long term and broad vision of the European University Alliances require a **broader focus**, taking into account the **complete remit**, including a international multi campus approach and enhanced mobility schedules.





# 3. Respect and strengthen Bologna key commitments

- Rather than doubling earlier developed processes procedures:
  - Stimulate the use of the European Approach and cross border QA to solve programmes.
  - Consider making this tools applicable on the alliance level



## 4. Define a clear focus of the quality assurance process.

- Try to focus on the **added value** of the alliance
- Respect the **developmental stages** of alliances
- The EUniQ framework suggests a **shift** in QA
  - Quality of educational programmes versus effectiveness of internal QA and quality enhancement mechanisms
  - Accountability versus enhancement



# 5. Facilitate collaborations and support trust among actors

- In order to build a successful QA framework or process , a strong **stakeholder dialogue** is a **must**.
- Ministries, agencies, institutions and stakeholders will need to share information and be willing to integrate a common framework within the existing national systems.
- Idea: encourage **joint evaluations** by at least **2 QA agencies**, mirroring the collaboration spirit that stays at the foundation of European Universities alliances



# Future steps

- Continue the **dialogue** on QA that was started by the EUniQ project
  - Co development with all actors
  - European university alliances = lots of chairs around the table
  - Need for flexibility, openness and dialogue
- Continue **developing** the QA while developing the European Universities

